

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/673,222	12/04/2000	Ronald D. Vale	UCSD-04765	3800	
7	590 07/05/2002				
Melden & Carroll 101 Howard Street Suite 350			EXAMINER		
			HOLLERAN, ANNE L		
San Francisco,	CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	1.0	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2002	(0)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/673,222	VALE ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Anne Holleran	1642				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	. ,	is action is non-final.					
3)	<u></u>						
Disposit	ion of Claims	ex parte Quayre, 1999 O	.D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen			- 99				
1)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/673,222

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-25 and 43-59, drawn to methods of identifying agents or screening for agents, where the technical feature is the use of agents that are microtubule severing proteins.

Group II, claim(s) 26-29 and 34-42, drawn to polypeptides that comprise the p60 subunit of katanin and to kits comprising microtubule severing proteins, where the technical feature is the p60 katanin subunit polypeptide product.

Group III, claim(s) 30-33, drawn to polynucleotides that encode the p60 subunit of katanin, where the technical feature is a polynucleotide product that encodes a p60 katanin subunit polypeptide.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: microtubule severing agents are known in the art. For example, katanin has been identified as a microtubule severing agent, as evidenced by the reference Accession No. O61577, Database SPTREMBL, McNally et al, a reference applied as an "X" reference in the Search Report. Therefore, microtubule severing agents or katanin cannot be used as the special technical feature to unite the claims, and each group has a different technical feature, as outlined above. Because each group has a different technical feature, the groups lack unity of invention.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/673,222 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

i) katanin and the p60 subunit of katanin

ii) XKCM1

iii) OP18 polypeptide

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species i) claims 10, 26-30, 40, 52 and 53

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-9, 11-25, 34-39, 41-51, 54-59.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of species i is the p60 subunit of katanin, the special technical feature of species ii is XKCM1, and the special technical

Application/Control Number: 09/673,222

Art Unit: 1642

feature of species iii is OP18 polypeptide. Thus, each of the species has a different special technical feature and do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Office should be directed to Anne Holleran, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-8892. Examiner Holleran can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:30 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached at (703) 308-3995.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0196.

MH

Anne L. Holleran Patent Examiner July 3, 2002

> ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600